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## Physical background



An electron in an ionic crystal polarizes its surroundings by Coulomb interaction. Electron and lattice polarization (deformation) together constitute a quasi-particle, the so-called polaron. (Source: Madelung [1])

The Polaron is described by the formal Fröhlich Hamiltonian:
(see Devreese [2] or Feynman [3])

$$
p^{2}+\int a_{k}^{*} a_{k} d k+\sqrt{\alpha} \int \frac{1}{|k|}\left(e^{i k x} a_{k}+e^{-i k x} a_{k}^{*}\right) d k
$$

Problem: No well-defined operator since $e^{ \pm i k x} /|k| \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
$\rightarrow$ Introduction of an UV-cutoff

## Mathematical description

The system is described by a state $\Psi$ in the Hilbert space

$$
\mathscr{H}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)
$$

with the symmetric Fock space

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right):=\underset{n \geq 0}{\bigoplus} \bigotimes_{s}^{n} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

For all $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathscr{H}$, we have the inner product
$\langle\Phi, \Psi\rangle:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d x \int d k_{1} \cdots \int d k_{n} \overline{\Phi^{(n)}\left(x ; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)} \Psi^{(n)}\left(x ; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$.

## Number and ladder operators

The number operator $N: D(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$
(N \Psi)^{(n)}:=n \Psi^{(n)}
$$

For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we define the annihilation and creation operators on $D(\sqrt{N})$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(f) \Psi^{(n)}:=\sqrt{n}\left\langle f, \Psi^{(n)}\right\rangle \\
& a^{*}(f) \Psi^{(n)}:=\sqrt{n+1} S_{n+1}\left(f \otimes \Psi^{(n)}\right) . \\
& {\left[a(f), a^{*}(g)\right]=\langle f, g\rangle \quad \text { on } D(N) }
\end{aligned}
$$

CCR:

## Fröhlich Hamiltonian with cutoff

We define the Hamiltonian with cutoff $\Lambda<\infty$ by

$$
H_{\Lambda}:=\underbrace{p^{2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}+\mathbb{1}_{L^{2}} \otimes N}_{=H_{0}}+\phi\left(G_{\Lambda}\right)
$$

on $D\left(H_{0}\right):=D\left(p^{2}\right) \cap D(N)$. Thereby, $p:=-i \nabla$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(G_{\Lambda}\right) & :=a\left(G_{\Lambda}\right)+a^{*}\left(G_{\Lambda}\right), \\
G_{\Lambda}(x, k) & :=|k|^{-(d-1) / 2} e^{-i k x} \chi_{\Lambda}(k), \quad d \geq 2 . \\
& \left(\rightarrow\left\|G_{\infty}\right\|=\infty\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\Lambda<\infty, H_{\Lambda}$ is self-adjoint on $D\left(H_{0}\right)$ and bounded from below.

## Self-adjoint realization of $H$ (without cutoff)

1 as the self-adjoint operator associated to a quadratic form,
2 as the norm-resolvent limit of $H_{\Lambda}$,
3 as the generator of a strongly continuous unitary group,
4 by using the Gross Transformation.
$\rightarrow$ All approaches yield to the same unique self-adjoint operator $H$ with $D(H) \subset D\left(H_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$.
$\rightarrow$ Only the Gross Transformation gives us an explicit representation of $H$ and $D(H)$.

## Gross transformation

We introduce for all $0<\sigma<\Lambda \leq \infty$ the unitary operator

$$
U_{\sigma, \Lambda}:=e^{i \pi\left(B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \pi\left(B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right) & :=a\left(B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)-a^{*}\left(B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right), \\
B_{\sigma, \Lambda}(x, k) & :=-\frac{1}{1+k^{2}} G_{\Lambda}(x, k)\left(1-\chi_{\sigma}(k)\right), \\
G_{\Lambda}(x, k) & :=|k|^{-(d-1) / 2} e^{-i k x} \chi_{\Lambda}(k), \quad d \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note, that $\quad\left\|B_{\sigma, \infty}\right\|<\infty,\left\|k B_{\sigma, \infty}\right\|<\infty$, but $\left\|k^{2} B_{\sigma, \infty}\right\|=\infty$.
We want to transform

$$
U_{\sigma, \Lambda} H_{\Lambda} U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*}=U_{\sigma, \Lambda}\left(p^{2}+N+\phi\left(G_{\Lambda}\right)\right) U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*} .
$$

## Self-adjointness of the transformed Hamiltonian

On $D\left(H_{0}\right)$ for $\Lambda<\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\sigma, \Lambda} H_{\Lambda} U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*}= & H_{\sigma}-2 a^{*}\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right) \cdot p-2 p \cdot a\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)+a\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)^{2} \\
& +a^{*}\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)^{2}+2 a^{*}\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right) a\left(k B_{\sigma, \Lambda}\right)+C_{\sigma, \Lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $H_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{\prime}$ by the righthand side of this equation (even for $\Lambda=\infty$ ).

## Theorem (Griesemer, Wünsch)

Let $a:=a\left(k B_{\sigma, \infty}\right)$ and $a^{*}:=a^{*}\left(k B_{\sigma, \infty}\right)$. For $\sigma>0$ large enough we get the representation $H=U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} H_{\sigma, \infty}^{\prime} U_{\sigma, \infty}$ where

$$
H_{\sigma, \infty}^{\prime}:=H_{\sigma}-2 a^{*} \cdot p-2 p \cdot a+a^{2}+\left(a^{*}\right)^{2}+2 a^{*} a+C_{\sigma}
$$

is a self-adjoint operator on $D\left(H_{0}\right)$. It follows that

$$
D(H)=U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right)
$$

If $\mathcal{D} \subset D\left(H_{0}\right)$ is a core of $H_{0}$ then $U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \mathcal{D}$ is a core of $H$.

## What is $D(H)=U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right)$ ?

We know the following mapping properties:

- for $\Lambda \leq \infty: U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*} D\left(H_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)=D\left(H_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(H) \subset D\left(H_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)$
- for $\Lambda \leq \infty: U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*} D(N)=D(N)$
- for $\Lambda<\infty: U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right) \subset D\left(p^{2}\right)$
$\Rightarrow$ only for $\Lambda<\infty: U_{\sigma, \Lambda}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right)=D\left(H_{0}\right)$

In fact, we have

$$
U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right) \cap D\left(H_{0}\right)=\{0\}
$$

which we get from the more general
Theorem (Griesemer, Wünsch)
(i) $D(H) \subset\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq s<3 / 2} D\left(|p|^{s}\right)\right) \cap D(N)$
(ii) $D(H) \cap D\left(|p|^{3 / 2}\right)=\{0\}$

## Idea of the proof

## Theorem (Griesemer, Wünsch)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \quad D(H) \subset\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq s<3 / 2} D\left(|p|^{s}\right)\right) \cap D(N) \\
& \text { (ii) } D(H) \cap D\left(|p|^{3 / 2}\right)=\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Idea: $D(H)=U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right), \quad D\left(H_{0}\right)=D\left(p^{2}\right) \cap D(N)$
(i) Let $1 \leq s \leq 2$ and $\Psi \in D\left(H_{0}\right)$ and look when $\left\||p|^{s} U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \Psi\right\|<\infty$.
(ii) Let $\Psi \in D\left(H_{0}\right)$ and suppose that $U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \Psi \in D\left(|p|^{3 / 2}\right)$.

Considering $\left\||p|^{3 / 2} U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \Psi\right\|$ which then has to be finite, the only possibility is $\Psi=0$.

## Heuristic stuff

Remind:
■ $D(H)=U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} D\left(H_{0}\right)$

- $D(H) \cap D\left(H_{0}\right)=\{0\}$

■ $U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*}$ maps cores of $H_{0}$ to cores of $H$
Consider for $\Psi$ from a suitable domain in $\mathscr{H} \backslash\{0\}$

$$
(p^{2}+\underbrace{N+a\left(G_{\infty}\right)}_{O K}+a^{*}\left(G_{\infty}\right)) \Psi
$$

- $a^{*}\left(G_{\infty}\right)$ maps outside of $\mathscr{H} \Rightarrow \Psi$ must not be $\in D\left(H_{0}\right)$.
- The set $\mathcal{D}:=\left\{\varphi \otimes e^{i \pi(f)} \Omega \mid \varphi, f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\}$ is a core of $H_{0}$, thus $U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \mathcal{D}$ is a core of $H$. For $\Psi \in U_{\sigma, \infty}^{*} \mathcal{D}$, one can formally calculate the state above and see the compensation of the divergent terms.
$\Rightarrow$ Meaning of the formal expression above for no $\Psi \in D\left(H_{0}\right)$ except $\Psi=0$.
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